

Bioplastics: Industry Trends, Criteria and Opportunities

CHE Webinar February 28, 2019

Bioplastics: Current Context

Market share 7.5 million tonnes in 2018 of 350 million tonnes of

million tonnes of global plastics production

(2%)

Projected Growth: Plastics overall 3%; biopolymers 4% Drivers and Opportunities

Global concern with **plastic pollution**; bans on single-use items, food packaging

As much as 146 million tonnes (40%)

of plastics goes to packaging

Industry Trends

Alliance to End Plastic Waste (2019)

Increase in number of companies providing bioplastic food packaging (<u>Bioplastics</u> News)









Source: Ryan, A Brief History of Marine Litter Research, in M. Bergmann, L. Gutow, M. Klages (Eds.), Marine Anthropogenic Litter, Berlin Springer, 2015; Plastics Europe



The Beginning: Feedstocks

Current: Conventional industrial agriculture

Microbia

process

PLA: high fossil fuel inputs (pesticides, fertilizers), some GMO; potential competition for feed, fuel, high land impacts Transition: Use of valorized waste

Landfill methane, bagasse, wood pulp, food waste, even CO2

(PHA, fiber replacements, polyols) Potential: Regeneratively produced feedstocks

Sugar (glucose), starch, cellulose, lignin, plant oils from **perennial plants** can all be bio-based green chemistry feedstocks



Figure 3 – Analogous Model of a Biobased Product Flow-chart for Biomass Feedstocks

6

The Middle: Biomaterials Production

Outstanding questions/ concerns:

Better data needed for:

Land use impacts for increased biomaterials production

Efficiency of processes converting biomass to usable raw material

Environmental benefits from **biodegradability**

"Bioplastics from conventional feedstocks are not necessarily more sustainable (as measured by GHG) due to direct and indirect emissions from land use)"

(Escobar et al., *Land use mediated GHG emissions and spillovers from increased consumption of bioplastics*, Environmental Research Letters, December 2018)



Perennial Industrial Crops: An Opportunity

Perennial crops, grown under regenerative agriculture practices (agroecology, agroforestry) are available globally

Co-benefits: restore vastly degraded global soils, increase water retention, addressing global drought.

A 2% increase of global soil carbon content could offset all global emissions (Rattan Lal, The Ohio State University)

Urgent Need for Robust Life-cycle Criteria for Biomaterials

Is it necessary? Redesign; eliminate single-use packaging.

FEEDSTOCK:

should utilize an existing waste (as a transition approach) OR be **regenerative.**

MANUFACTURIN G : Non-toxic:

should not simply make the same toxic chemical feedstocks from bio-based sources.

Achieve function without toxic additives (see: redesign)

END OF LIFE: Truly biodegradable and accurately labeled for home, industrial composting, or marine environments. Develop appropriate waste

management infrastructure.

10





Sustainable Biomaterials Collaborative* in 2009 published: <u>Guidelines for</u> <u>Sustainable</u> <u>Bioplastics</u> Proposal:

Expand and update existing criteria. Create a certification with global scope.

* Clean Production Action, Healthy Building Network, Institute for Local Self Reliance

What next?

LIFE CYCLE CRITERIA

Agree on robust third-party criteria for biomaterials: regenerative feedstocks, nontoxic manufacturing, and truly biodegradable

CASE STUDIES

Generate on-theground **data** on impacts of biomaterial production through entire life cycle.

ROADMAP

Which material sectors are ripe for transformation (hint: packaging)

GOAL:

Thriving, equitable local economies with bio-based materials that restore soil fertility, sequester carbon and improve public health.